



---

Academies Trust

# Managing Safeguarding Concerns and Allegations of Abuse Policy

## Policy details

- Date reviewed - Autumn 2024
- Date approved - 02/12/2024
- Next review date - Autumn 2026
- Policy owner - Chief People Officer

## CONTENTS

1. Purpose	3
2. Related Policies	3
3. Introduction	4
4. Low Level Concerns	5
5. Allegations of Abuse	7
6. Review	9
Annex 1 - Safeguarding Low level concern record	10
Annex 2 - Keeping Children Safe In Education (Part 4)	11

## 1. Purpose

1.1 This Policy applies to:

- all employees of our Trust, whether academy based or part of the central/hub team
- any other people working within our academies (whether paid or unpaid), including agency/supply staff, trainee teachers, contractors and volunteers
- Academy Community Council and Trust Board members.

The use of “headteacher” within this Policy also includes Heads of School or Executive Headteachers, dependent upon the structure in place. For central/hub colleagues this will generally be the relevant Head of Department or SLT member.

In all cases, advice must be sought from the Regional HR Manager at the earliest opportunity, who are expected to work closely with Headteacher throughout the process to provide support.

1.2 Co-op Academies Trust (“our Trust”) is required to follow the Department for Education’s statutory guidance for schools on Keeping Children Safe in Education. Part Four of the guidance deals with managing concerns or allegations that might indicate a person may pose a risk of harm if they continue working in regular or close contact with children.

1.3 The DfE statutory guidance identifies two levels of allegations / concerns:

- a. Allegations that may meet the harm threshold.
- b. Allegations/concerns that do not meet the harm threshold – referred to for the purposes of this guidance as ‘low level concerns’.

1.4 This Policy sets out the approach that will be taken within our Trust to deal with both low level concerns and allegations of abuse made against staff which may meet the harm threshold.

1.5 This Policy will be applied with due consideration to our Trust’s Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Policy and our co-operative values.

## 2. Related Policies

2.1 This Policy is closely linked to other Co-op Academies Trust and/or Academy policies, which should be referenced when appropriate, including:

- Child protection / safeguarding
- Safer Recruitment Policy

- Online Safety Policy
- Disciplinary Procedure (staff)
- Positive Handling Policy]
- Whistleblowing Policy, which gives more details about legal protections for colleagues making “protected disclosures” made in the public interest
- Professional and Staff Codes of Conduct
- Any other relevant guidance documents for staff, volunteers and Academy Community Council and Trust Board Members

2.2 It is also related to the non-statutory [Guidance for Safer Working Practice](#), published by the Safer Recruitment Consortium.

### 3. Introduction

3.1 The DfE guidance is comprehensive and statutory, and our Trust expects that its academies will follow this guidance in any cases that arise, to ensure that its duty of care to both its employees and children are met.

3.2 A summary of the difference between a low level concern and an allegation of abuse is given below. This is a summary of information in KCSiE Part Four (see Annex 2)

<p><u>Low Level Concern</u></p> <p>The term ‘low-level’ concern does not mean that it is insignificant, it means that the behaviour towards a child does not meet the harm threshold (see opposite), but when someone has acted in a way that causes concern, including inappropriate conduct outside of work.</p> <p>Examples of such behaviour could include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● being over friendly with children;</li> <li>● having “favourites”;</li> <li>● taking photographs of children on their mobile phone;</li> <li>● engaging with a child on a one-to-one basis in a secluded area or behind a closed door; or,</li> </ul>	<p><u>Allegation of Abuse</u></p> <p>The guidance should be referred to in any cases where a person has potentially met the harm threshold as they have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● behaved in a way that has harmed a child, or may have harmed a child;</li> <li>● possibly committed a criminal offence against or related to a child; or</li> <li>● behaved towards a child or children in a way that indicated he or she may pose a risk of harm to children</li> <li>● behaved or may have behaved in a way that indicates they may not be suitable to work with children.</li> </ul>
--	---

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• using inappropriate sexualised, intimidating or offensive language.</li> </ul> <p>Low level concerns may, at some point, transition to become an allegation of abuse e.g. if there are a series of concerns relating to one colleague.</p>	<p>These are allegations serious enough to consider a referral to the LADO.</p> <p>The last bullet point above includes behaviour that may have happened outside of the academy, that might make an individual unsuitable to work with children, this is known as transferable risk.</p>
<p>See section 4.</p>	<p>See section 5.</p>

3.3 If a low level concern is raised, or an allegation of abuse is made against a member of staff, the quick resolution of that concern or allegation should be a clear priority to the benefit of all concerned.

3.4 The initial priority for the Headteacher is to conduct basic enquiries to help them determine whether the matter is a low level concern or a more serious allegation, and whether or not there is any foundation to the allegation. This should take place before contacting the LADO; advice from your HR and/or Regional Safeguarding Lead may also be sought.

3.5 Where a matter is referred to the LADO, they may state that they consider the allegation to be “unsubstantiated” or “substantiated” in relation to the ‘harms test’ under KCSiE. However, it is a separate internal investigation carried out in accordance with sections 7 & 8 of our Trust’s Disciplinary Procedure which will determine the employment outcome.

3.6 A copy of the KCSiE guidance is included at Annex 2 for ease of reference.

#### 4. Low Level Concerns

4.1 Our Trust’s Colleague Code of Conduct outlines the minimum standards for all colleagues of Co-op Academies Trust, whether paid or unpaid; section 12 covers Safeguarding and Child Protection.

4.2 A “low-level concern” is any concern – no matter how small, and even if no more than causing a sense of unease or a ‘nagging doubt’ - that an adult working in or on behalf of the school or college may have acted in a way that is inconsistent with the Colleague Code of Conduct (section 12), or other Academy or Trust

policies / processes relating to safeguarding & child protection, but where it is not considered that the harm threshold for referral to the LADO has been met.

4.3 Headteachers are responsible for ensuring that all colleagues within their academy understand what 'low level concerns' are, and know how they should raise any concerns they might have. They should ensure they create an environment where colleagues are encouraged and feel confident to self-refer, where, for example, they have found themselves in a situation which could be misinterpreted, might appear compromising to others, and/or on reflection they believe they have behaved in such a way that they consider falls below the expected professional standards. Low level concerns may be raised with the Headteacher verbally or in writing.

4.4 Colleagues are expected to raise all and any "low level concerns" with their Headteacher. This is part of ensuring that we have an open and transparent culture in which all concerns about all adults working in or on behalf of the academy are dealt with promptly and appropriately.

Any concerns relating to the Headteacher should be raised to the Regional Director. Any concerns relating to Trust SLT members should be raised to the CEO. Any concerns relating to the CEO should be raised to the Chair of the Trust Board.

4.5 When a low level concern is received, the Headteacher should carry out a fact finding exercise to gather as much information as possible by speaking to:

- the person raising the concern (unless it has been raised anonymously)
- any witnesses
- the person involved (i.e. about whom the concerns have been raised)

This will help to confirm that it is indeed a low level concern. The information collected will help to categorise the type of behaviour and determine what further action may need to be taken. Confidentiality should be maintained when dealing with low level concerns.

4.6 The colleague who is the subject of the low level concern should be given the details of the concern that's been raised, and also feel that their side is being heard, particularly if the situation is between two members of staff with no witnesses or evidence. Due to the sensitive nature of this type of situation, colleagues may be accompanied by a workplace colleague or trade union representative during the fact finding meeting.

4.7 In some situations no further action may be required; on other occasions further action may include giving advice or training. If the initial fact finding indicates that

the issue is more than a low level concern, it may be appropriate to appoint an Investigating Officer to complete a full investigation in line with Section 5 below and our Trust's Disciplinary Procedure.

4.8 All low-level concerns should be recorded in writing (whether or not further action is taken). The record should include details of the concern, the context in which the concern arose, any additional relevant information from the fact finding, and any action taken (a template is at Annex 1). The name of the individual sharing their concerns should also be noted. Anonymity will not be offered and should not be expected as a matter of course, however, if the individual requests to remain anonymous then that should be respected as far as reasonably possible. However, it may not be possible to maintain anonymity in order to carry out a fair disciplinary process.

It is a requirement of KCSiE that a record is retained, so there is no recourse to appeal regarding the retention. Headteachers are required to use the Google Form version of the Annex 1 template to document all low level concerns; this enables the Head to have an overview of patterns of behaviour within their school. A copy of the record must be printed (or saved as pdf) and provided to the colleague who is the subject of the concern (with the name of the person raising the complaint redacted if that individual has asked to remain anonymous).

4.9 Records should be kept confidential, held securely on the personnel file of the colleague against whom the concern is raised, and comply with the Data Protection Act 2018 and the UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR), and should be retained in the colleague's HR file in line with usual retention periods for HR information (end of employment + six years).

4.10 Low level concerns should not be included in references unless they relate to issues which would normally be included in a reference. However, where a concern (or group of concerns) has met the threshold for referral to the LADO and found to be substantiated, it should be referred to in a reference.

## 5. Allegations of Abuse

5.1 The Trust will put in place a clear procedure for dealing with allegations within each academy, making it clear that all allegations should be reported immediately to the Headteacher.

5.2 The Headteacher must alert their Regional Safeguarding Lead and relevant Regional Director (who will notify the Regional HR Manager) if possible before

making their referral to their Local Authority Designated Officer (LADO) although the referral should not be unnecessarily delayed.

5.3 If the complaint is against the Headteacher, your Regional Director must be informed. They will inform the Chair of the Academy Community Council and the LADO.

5.4 Headteachers (and anyone else responsible for a case) should:

- Follow the DfE statutory guidance in all cases where allegations of abuse are made against teachers and any other staff including supply teachers and volunteers
- Ensure that all allegations are dealt with quickly, in a fair and consistent way, and making every effort to maintain confidentiality
- Work in close liaison with their LADO, and with the police and children's social care services if appropriate
- Ensure that any colleagues facing an allegation are provided with effective ongoing support and regular updates about the progress of the case; advise the colleague to contact their Trade Union representative (or full time official, if they are a TU rep themselves); ensure that contact details for the Employee Assistance Programme are provided
- Ensure that parents or carers of a child or children involved are told of the allegation, made aware of the prohibition on reporting or publishing allegations about teachers, and are kept up to date with information about the progress of the case
- Only suspend the person facing an allegation where a written "suspension risk assessment" has been completed which indicates that this is proportionate and necessary and when all options to avoid suspension have been considered [see Annex 2 and our Trust's Disciplinary Procedure]
- Where a period of suspension is ongoing for a period of time it should be regularly reviewed; the colleague or their Trade Union Representative may also request a review. The suspension should be lifted as soon as circumstances permit.

5.5 At the conclusion of a case where the allegation is substantiated and the person is dismissed or removed from regulated activity (or would have been removed had they not left), a referral should be made to:

- (a) Disclosure & Barring Service for consideration of inclusion on the barred lists and/or,
- (b) if a teacher, refer the matter to the Teaching Regulation Agency (TRA)

5.6 Where an allegation is found to be unsubstantiated, malicious or deliberately invented, consider whether any follow-on actions are required in respect of the

'accuser' such as referral to the police or action under academy pupil behaviour policies.

5.7 Carry out a case review in conjunction with your Regional HR Manager and Regional Safeguarding Lead at the conclusion of any cases, to identify whether any improvements can be made.

5.8 Where suspension was used, carry out a review with the LADO on conclusion of the case to determine whether that suspension was proportionate / appropriate; this should be recorded and shared with the colleague who was suspended.

5.9 Academies should keep a confidential record of all allegations made (other than those found to be malicious) on the individual's personnel file; and not refer to false, unfounded, unsubstantiated or malicious allegations in any references.

Academies have an obligation to preserve records which contain information about allegations of sexual abuse indefinitely for the ongoing Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse. All other records should be retained at least until the accused has reached normal pension age or for a period of 10 years from the date of the allegation if that is longer.

5.10 Our Trust will:

- Provide support, as required, to any of its academies that are dealing with allegations against staff
- Monitor all allegations against staff in order to identify trends, learn any lessons and implement recommendations; e.g. training needs, record keeping, procedures

## 6. Review

This Policy will be reviewed in consultation with our recognised trade unions once every two years, or when the Department for Education (DfE) issues revised guidance on this topic, if sooner.

## Annex 1 - Low level concern record

### CONFIDENTIAL

*Note: Headteachers are required to use the Google Form version of this template to document all low level concerns - this version is provided for transparency.*

### Low level concern record

Name of colleague about whom the low level concern has been raised (Person A)	
Date of concern raised	
Name of person raising concern	
Has the person raising the concern asked to remain anonymous? If so, this information MUST be redacted before this form is shared with Person A	YES / NO
Name of person carrying out fact finding	
Details of the concern raised	
Context in which the concern arose	
Additional relevant information from fact finding	
Conclusions of fact finding	
Any actions taken	

This record should be held confidentially in the personnel file of Person A.

It should be retained as part of the colleague's HR file in line with usual retention periods for HR information (end of employment + six years).

## Annex 2 - Keeping Children Safe In Education (Part 4)

DfE Statutory guidance for schools and colleges, [KCSiE](#)

### Part four: Safeguarding concerns or allegations made about staff, including supply teachers, volunteers and contractors

359. Schools and colleges should have their own procedures for dealing with safeguarding concerns or allegations against those working in or on behalf of schools and colleges in a paid or unpaid capacity, i.e. members of staff, including supply teachers, volunteers and contractors. 360. This part of the guidance has two sections covering the two levels of concerns and allegations: 1. Concerns / allegations that may meet the harm threshold. 2. Concerns / allegations that do not meet the harm threshold – referred to for the purposes of this guidance as ‘low-level concerns’.

361. These procedures should be consistent with local safeguarding procedures and practice guidance.

#### Section one: Concerns or allegations that may meet the harm threshold

362. This part of the guidance is about managing cases of concerns/allegations that might indicate a person would pose a risk of harm<sup>1</sup> if they continue to work in their present position, or in any capacity with children in a school or college. In this part (section one) of the guidance reference is made to ‘allegation’ for ease. This part of the guidance should be followed where it is alleged that anyone working in the school or a college that provides education for persons under 18 years of age, including supply teachers, volunteers and contractors has:

- behaved in a way that has harmed a child, or may have harmed a child and/or
- possibly committed a criminal offence against or related to a child, and/or
- behaved towards a child or children in a way that indicates he or she may pose a risk of harm to children, and/or
- behaved or may have behaved in a way that indicates they may not be suitable to work with children.

363. The last bullet point above includes behaviour that may have happened outside of school or college, that might make an individual unsuitable to work with children, this is known as transferable risk.

364. Where appropriate, an assessment of transferable risk to children with whom the person works should be undertaken. If in doubt seek advice from the local authority designated officer (LADO).

---

<sup>1</sup> The harm test is explained in the Disclosure and Barring service Guidance: Making barring referrals to the DBS and Section 31(9) of the Children Act 1989 as amended by the Adoption and Children Act 2002

365. It is important that policies and procedures make clear to whom allegations should be reported (as set out at paragraph 70) and that this should be done without delay. A “case manager” will lead any investigation. This will be either the headteacher or principal, or, where the headteacher is the subject of an allegation, the chair of governors or chair of the management committee and in an independent school it will be the proprietor.

#### The initial response to an allegation

366. Where the school or college identifies that a child has been harmed, that there may be an immediate risk of harm to a child or if the situation is an emergency, they should contact local authority children’s social care and as appropriate the police immediately as per the processes explained in Part one of this guidance.

367. There are two aspects to consider when an allegation is made:

- Looking after the welfare of the child - the designated safeguarding lead (or a deputy) is responsible for ensuring that the child is not at risk and referring cases of suspected abuse to the local authority children’s social care as described in Part one of this guidance.
- Investigating and supporting the person subject to the allegation - the case manager should discuss with the LADO, the nature, content and context of the allegation, and agree a course of action.

368. When dealing with allegations, schools and colleges should:

- apply common sense and judgement
- deal with allegations quickly, fairly and consistently, and
- provide effective protection for the child and support the person subject to the allegation.

369. Schools and colleges should ensure they understand the local authority arrangements for managing allegations, including the contact details and what information the LADO will require when an allegation is made. Before contacting the LADO, schools and colleges should conduct basic enquiries in line with local procedures to establish the facts and to help them determine whether there is any foundation to the allegation, being careful not to jeopardise any future police investigation. For example whether:

- the individual was in the school or college at the time of the allegations
- the individual did or could have come into contact with the child
- there were any witnesses, and
- there is any CCTV footage

370. These are just a sample of example questions. Schools and colleges should be familiar with what initial information the LADO will require. This information can be found in local policy and procedural guidance provided by the LADO service.

371. When to inform the individual of the allegation should be considered carefully on a case-by-case basis, with guidance as required from the LADO, and if appropriate local authority children’s social care and the police.

372. If there is cause to suspect a child is suffering, or is likely to suffer significant harm, a strategy discussion involving the police and/or local authority children's social care will be convened in accordance with the statutory guidance Working Together to Safeguard Children. If the allegation is about physical contact, for example restraint, the strategy discussion<sup>2</sup> or initial evaluation with the LADO should take into account that teachers and other school and college staff are entitled to use reasonable force to control or restrain children in certain circumstances, including dealing with disruptive behaviour. Further information about the use of reasonable force can be found at paragraphs 166-168 in Part Two of this guidance and on GOV.UK.

373. Where the case manager is concerned about the welfare of other children in the community or the member of staff's family, they should discuss these concerns with the designated safeguarding lead (or a deputy) and make a risk assessment of the situation. It may be necessary for the designated safeguarding lead to make a referral to local authority children's social care.

374. Where it is clear that an investigation by the police or local authority children's social care is unnecessary, or the strategy discussion or initial assessment decides that is the case, the LADO should discuss the next steps with the case manager.

#### No further action

375. Where the initial discussion leads to no further action, the case manager and the LADO should:

- record the decision and justification for it, and
- agree on what information should be put in writing to the individual concerned and by whom.

#### Further enquiries

376. Where further enquiries are required to enable a decision about how to proceed, the LADO and case manager should discuss how and by whom the investigation will be undertaken. The LADO will provide advice and guidance to schools and colleges when considering allegations against adults working with children. The role of the LADO is not to investigate the allegation, but to ensure that an appropriate investigation is carried out, whether that is by the police, local authority children's social care, the school or college, or a combination of these. In straightforward cases, the investigation should normally be undertaken by a senior member of the school or college's staff.

377. Where there is a lack of appropriate resource within the school or college, or the nature or complexity of the allegation requires it, the allegation will require an independent investigator. Many local authorities provide for an independent investigation of allegations for schools that are maintained by the local authority, often as part of the HR/personnel services that schools and colleges can buy in from the authority. It is important that local authorities ensure that schools and colleges in that area have access to an affordable facility

---

<sup>2</sup> The purpose of a strategy discussion and those likely to be involved is described in Working Together to Safeguard Children

for independent investigation where that is appropriate. Colleges, academies and independent schools should also ensure they secure the services of a person who is independent of the school or college.

378. The case manager should monitor the progress of cases to ensure that they are dealt with as quickly as possible in a thorough and fair process. Wherever possible, the first review should take place no later than four weeks after the initial assessment.

379. Dates for subsequent reviews, ideally at fortnightly (and no longer than monthly) intervals, should be set at the review meeting if the investigation continues.

#### Supply teachers and all contracted staff

380. In some circumstances schools and colleges will have to consider an allegation against an individual not directly employed by them, where its disciplinary procedures do not fully apply because agencies will have their own policies and procedures; for example, supply teachers or contracted staff provided by an employment agency or business.

381. Whilst schools and colleges are not the employer of supply teachers, they should ensure allegations are dealt with properly. In no circumstances should a school or college decide to cease to use a supply teacher due to safeguarding concerns, without finding out the facts and liaising with the LADO to determine a suitable outcome. Governing bodies and proprietors should discuss with the supply agency or agencies where the supply teacher is working across a number of schools or colleges, whether it is appropriate to suspend the supply teacher, or redeploy them to another part of the school or college, whilst they carry out their investigation.

382. Agencies should be fully involved and co-operate with any enquiries from the LADO, police and/or local authority children's social care. The school or college will usually take the lead because agencies do not have direct access to children or other school or college staff, so they will not be able to collect the facts when an allegation is made, nor do they have all the relevant information required by the LADO as part of the referral process. Supply teachers, whilst not employed by the school or college, are under the supervision, direction and control of the governing body or proprietor when working in the school or college. They should be advised to contact their trade union representative if they have one, or a colleague for support. The allegations management meeting, which is often arranged by the LADO, should address issues such as information sharing, to ensure that any previous concerns or allegations known to the agency or agencies are considered by the school or college during the investigation.

383. When using a supply agency, schools and colleges should inform the agency of the process for managing allegations but also take account of the agency's policies and the duty placed on agencies to refer to the DBS as personnel suppliers. This should include inviting the agency's human resource manager or equivalent to meetings and keeping them up to date with information about its policies.

#### Organisations or Individuals using school premises

384. Schools and colleges may receive an allegation relating to an incident that happened when an individual or organisation was using their school premises for the purposes of running activities for children (for example community groups, 96 sports associations, or service providers that run extra-curricular activities). As with any safeguarding allegation, schools and colleges should follow their safeguarding policies and procedures, including informing the LADO.

### Governors

385. If an allegation is made against a governor, schools and colleges should follow their own local procedures. Where an allegation is substantiated, they should follow the procedures to consider removing them from office.

### Suspension

386. Suspension should not be an automatic response when an allegation is reported. All options to avoid suspension should be considered prior to taking that step. The case manager must consider carefully whether the circumstances warrant suspension from contact with children at the school or college, until the allegation is resolved. It should be considered only in cases where there is cause to suspect a child or other children at the school or college is/are at risk of harm, or the case is so serious that there might be grounds for dismissal. If in doubt, the case manager should seek views from their personnel adviser and the LADO, as well as the police and local authority children's social care where they have been involved.

387. Where a school or sixth form college is made aware that the Secretary of State has made an interim prohibition order, in respect of an individual who works at a school or sixth form college, they should take immediate action to ensure the individual does not carry out work in contravention of the order. This means that pending the findings of the Teaching Regulation Agency (TRA) investigation, the individual must not carry out teaching work. School and colleges should have clear policies on pay arrangements whilst the person is suspended or where there is an interim prohibition order in place.

388. In many cases, an inquiry can be resolved quickly and without the need for suspension. The employer will decide on whether the individual should continue to work at the school or college, based on consultation with the LADO who will provide relevant information received from the police or local authority children's social care on whether they have any objections to the member of staff continuing to work during the investigation of the case.

389. Based on advice from the school or college's HR provider and/or a risk analysis drawn up with the LADO, the following alternatives should be considered by the case manager before suspending a member of staff:

- redeployment within the school or college so that the individual does not have direct contact with the child or children concerned
- providing an assistant to be present when the individual has contact with children
- redeploying to alternative work in the school or college so the individual does not have unsupervised access to children

- moving the child or children to classes where they will not come into contact with the member of staff, but this decision should only be made if it is in the best interests of the child or children concerned and takes account of their views. It should be made clear that this is not a punishment and parents have been consulted, or
- temporarily redeploying the member of staff to another role in a different location, for example to an alternative school or college or where available, work for the local authority or academy trust.

390. These alternatives allow time for an informed decision regarding the suspension, this will, however, depend upon the nature of the allegation. The case manager should consider the potential permanent professional reputational damage to employees that can result from suspension where an allegation is later found to be unfounded, unsubstantiated, malicious, or false.

391. If immediate suspension is considered necessary, the case manager should record the rationale and justification for such a course of action. This should also include what alternatives to suspension have been considered and why they were rejected.

392. Where it has been deemed appropriate to suspend the person, written confirmation should be given within one working day, giving as much detail as appropriate for the reasons for the suspension. It is not acceptable for an employer to leave a person who has been suspended without any support. The person should be informed at the point of their suspension who their named contact is within the organisation and provided with their contact details.

393. Local authority children's social care or the police may give their view to the LADO but they cannot require the case manager to suspend a member of staff or remove a volunteer, although the case manager should give appropriate weight to their views. The power to suspend is vested in the governing body or proprietor who are the employers. However, where a strategy discussion, or initial assessment, concludes that there should be enquiries by local authority children's social care, and/or an investigation by the police, the LADO should canvass police and local authority children's social care for views about whether the accused member of staff should be suspended from contact with children. Police involvement does not make it mandatory to suspend a member of staff; this 98 decision should be taken on a case-by-case basis having undertaken a risk assessment about whether the person poses a risk of harm to children.

## Supporting those involved

### Duty of care

394. The welfare of a child is paramount (how children should be protected and supported is set out throughout this guidance) and this will be the prime concern in terms of investigating an allegation against a person in a position of trust. However, when an allegation or safeguarding concern is being investigated it is likely to be a very stressful experience for the adult subject to the investigation, and potentially for their family members. It is important that an employer offers appropriate welfare support at such a time

and recognises the sensitivity of the situation. Information is confidential and should not ordinarily be shared with other staff or with children or parents who are not directly involved in the investigation.

395. Employers have a duty of care to their employees. They should:

- manage and minimise the stress caused by the allegation
- inform the individual as soon as possible, explaining the likely course of action, guided by the LADO, and the police where necessary
- advise the individual to contact their trade union representative, or a colleague for support
- appoint a named representative to keep the person informed about the progress of the case
- provide access to counselling or medical advice where appropriate. For staff in schools maintained by the local authority this may include support via the local authority's occupational health arrangements, and
- not prevent social contact with work colleagues and friends, when staff are suspended, unless there is evidence to suggest this may prejudice the gathering of evidence.

396. Parents or carers of the child or children involved should be:

- formally told about the allegation as soon as possible<sup>3</sup>. The case manager should consult the LADO and where involved, local authority children's social care and/or the police on what information can be disclosed.
- kept informed about the progress of the case, only in relation to their child - no information can be shared regarding the staff member, and
- made aware of the requirement to maintain confidentiality and unwanted publicity about any allegations made against teachers in schools whilst investigations are in progress as set out in section 141F of the Education Act 2002.

### Confidentiality and Information sharing

397. In an allegations management meeting or during the initial assessment of the case, the agencies involved should share all relevant information they have about the person who is the subject of the allegation, and about the alleged victim, see paragraphs 114-122 in Part two about information sharing.

398. Where the police are involved, wherever possible the school or college should ask the police to obtain consent from the individuals involved to share their statements and evidence for use in the employer's disciplinary process. This should be done as their investigation proceeds and will enable the police to share relevant information without delaying the conclusion of their investigation or any court case.

399. Local authority children's social care should adopt a similar procedure when making enquiries to determine whether the child or children named in the allegation are in need of

---

<sup>3</sup> In deciding what information is disclosed, careful consideration should be given to the provisions of the Data Protection Act 2018, the law of confidence and, where relevant, the Human Rights Act 1998

protection or services, so that any information obtained in the course of those enquiries which is relevant to a disciplinary case can be passed to the employer without delay.

400. The school and college must make every effort to maintain confidentiality and guard against unwanted publicity while an allegation is being investigated or considered. The Education Act 2011 amended the Education Act 2002, to introduce reporting restrictions. These provisions made it an offence (except in the limited circumstance expressly permitted by the legislation), for any person to publish any material that may lead to the identification of a teacher in a school<sup>4</sup> who has been accused by, or on behalf of, a child from the same school (where that identification would identify the teacher as the subject of the allegation).

- 401. The reporting restrictions apply until:
- the point that the accused person is charged with a relevant offence, or
- the Secretary of State<sup>5</sup> or the General Teaching Council for Wales publishes information about an investigation or decision in a disciplinary case arising from the allegation.

402. The reporting restrictions are disapplied if the individual to whom the restrictions apply effectively waives their right to anonymity by going public themselves or by giving their written consent for another to do so or if a court lifts the reporting restrictions in response to a request to do so.

403. The legislation prevents the “publication” of material by any person that may lead to the identification of the teacher who is the subject of the allegation. “Publication” includes “any speech, writing, relevant programme or other communication in whatever form, which is addressed to the public at large or any section of the public.” This means that a parent who, for example, published details of the allegation on a social networking site would be in breach of the reporting restrictions (if what was published could lead to the identification of the teacher by members of the public). In circumstances where schools need to make parents aware about an allegation, they should make parents and others aware that there are restrictions on publishing information.

404. In accordance with the [Authorised Professional Practice published by the College of Policing](#) in May 2017, the police will not normally provide any information to the press or media that might identify an individual who is under investigation, unless and until the person is charged with a criminal offence. In exceptional cases where the police wish to depart from that rule, for example an appeal to trace a suspect, they must apply to a magistrates’ court to request that reporting restrictions be lifted.

405. The case manager should take advice from the LADO, the police and local authority children’s social care to agree the following:

- who needs to know and exactly what information can be shared
- how to manage speculation, leaks and gossip

---

<sup>4</sup> Section 141F of the Education Act 2002 only applies to teachers in schools does not apply to colleges

<sup>5</sup> Carried out by the Teaching Regulation Agency.

- what, if any, information can be reasonably given to the wider community to reduce speculation and
- how to manage press interest if, and when, it should arise.

### Allegation outcomes

406. The definitions that should be used when schools and colleges determine the outcome of an allegation are set out below:

- Substantiated: there is sufficient evidence to prove the allegation
- Malicious: there is sufficient evidence to disprove the allegation and there has been a deliberate act to deceive or cause harm to the person subject of the allegation
- False: there is sufficient evidence to disprove the allegation
- Unsubstantiated: there is insufficient evidence to either prove or disprove the allegation. The term, therefore, does not imply guilt or innocence, or
- Unfounded: to reflect cases where there is no evidence or proper basis which supports the allegation being made.

407. Ultimately the options open to the school or college depend on the nature and circumstances of the allegations and the evidence and information available. This will range from taking no further action, to dismissal or a decision not to use the person's services in future.

### Following a substantiated allegation

408. If the allegation is substantiated and:

- the person is dismissed; resigns, or otherwise ceases to provide his or her services, or
- the employer ceases to use the person's services.

409. In the case of a member of teaching staff at any school, sixth form college, or 16-19 academy, the case manager must consider whether to refer the matter to the TRA to consider whether the individual should be prohibited from teaching (paragraph 357-358 for further information).<sup>133</sup><sup>6</sup>

There is a legal requirement for employers to make a referral<sup>134</sup><sup>7</sup> to the DBS where they consider an individual has engaged in conduct that harmed (or is likely to harm) a child; or if a person otherwise poses a risk of harm to a child (paragraph 353-356 for further information).

### Following a criminal investigation or a prosecution

411. The police should inform the LADO and the employer immediately when:

- a criminal investigation and any subsequent trial are complete

---

<sup>6</sup> Teacher Regulation Agency – [guidance on Referrals to the TRA](#)

<sup>7</sup> Disclosure and Barring Service – [guidance on Referrals to the DBS](#)

- it is decided to close an investigation without charge, or 133 Teacher Regulation Agency – guidance on Referrals to the TRA
- it is decided not to continue to prosecute after the person has been charged.

412. In those circumstances, during the joint assessment meeting the LADO should discuss with the case manager whether any further action, including disciplinary action, is appropriate and, if so, how to proceed. The information provided by the police and/or local authority children's social care should also inform that decision. The options will depend on the circumstances of the case and the consideration should take into account the result of the police investigation or the trial, as well as the different standard of proof required in disciplinary and criminal proceedings.

#### Unsubstantiated, unfounded, false or malicious allegations

413. If an allegation is determined to be unsubstantiated, unfounded, false or malicious, the LADO and the case manager should consider whether the child and/or the person who has made the allegation is in need of help or may have been abused by someone else and this was a cry for help. In such circumstances, a referral to local authority children's social care may be appropriate.

414. If an allegation is shown to be deliberately invented or malicious, the school or college, should consider whether any disciplinary action is appropriate against the individual who made it as per their own behaviour policy.

#### Returning to work

415. Where it is decided on the conclusion of a case that a person who has been suspended can return to work, the case manager should consider how best to facilitate that. Guidance and advice are usually provided via HR or the LADO. Most people will benefit from some help and support to return to work after a stressful experience. Depending on the individual's circumstances, a phased return and/or the provision of a mentor to provide assistance and support in the short term may be appropriate. The case manager should also consider how the person's contact with the child or children who made the allegation can best be managed if they are still attending the school or college.

### Managing the situation and exit arrangements

#### Resignations and 'settlement agreements'

416. 'Settlement agreements' (sometimes referred to as compromise agreements), by which a person agrees to resign if the employer agrees not to pursue disciplinary action and both parties agree a form of words to be used in any future reference, should not be used, where there are allegations that indicate the 103 person is a risk or poses a risk of harm to children or deemed not suitable to work with children. Such an agreement will not prevent a thorough police and/or school or college investigation where that is appropriate.

417. Schools and colleges should not cease their investigations if the person leaves, resigns or ceases to provide their services. It is important that every effort is made to reach a

conclusion in all cases of allegations with a bearing on the safety or welfare of children, including any in which the person concerned refuses to cooperate.

418. Wherever possible, the accused should be given full opportunity to answer the allegation and make representations about it. The process of recording the allegation and any supporting evidence and reaching a judgement about whether it can be substantiated or otherwise on the basis of all the information available, should continue even if the accused does not cooperate. It may be difficult to reach a conclusion in those circumstances, and it may not be possible to apply any disciplinary sanctions if a person's period of notice expires before the process is complete, but it is important to reach and record an outcome wherever possible. The person concerned should be notified of the conclusion of the allegations and sanctions that might be posed.

419. Other than where allegations are false, malicious, unsubstantiated, or unfounded, the outcome should be made clear when providing references to prospective employers. This is particularly important where the person moves into another position involving working with children.

420. It is not appropriate to reach a settlement/compromise agreement if the person subject to the allegation resigns or their services cease to be used. However, in limited circumstances schools and colleges sometimes use settlement agreements to end the employment relationship on agreed terms, but not where there is an allegation that the individual poses a risk to children.

421. Where a settlement/compromise agreement is used, schools and colleges should not let it prevent the employer from:

- fulfilling their legal duty to refer cases to the DBS where the referral criteria are met – see paragraph 353.
- Non-compliance of this duty is a criminal offence, or
- providing a reference to potential employers when requested, or
- considering whether to make a referral to the TRA where the criteria are met - see paragraph 357.

### Record-keeping

422. Details of allegations following an investigation that are found to have been malicious or false should be removed from personnel records unless the individual gives their consent for retention of the information. However, for all other allegations, i.e. substantiated, unfounded and unsubstantiated it is important that the following information is kept on the file of the person accused:

- a clear and comprehensive summary of the allegation
- details of how the allegation was followed up and resolved
- a note of any action taken, decisions reached and the outcome i.e. substantiated, unfounded or unsubstantiated
- a copy provided to the person concerned, where agreed by local authority children's social care or the police, and

- a declaration on whether the information will be referred to in any future reference.

423. The purpose of the record is to enable accurate information to be given in response to any future request for a reference. It will provide clarification in cases where future DBS checks reveal information from the police about an allegation that did not result in a criminal conviction and it will help to prevent unnecessary reinvestigation if, as sometimes happens, an allegation re-surfaces after a period of time.

424. All other records should be retained at least until the accused has reached normal pension age or for a period of 10 years from the date of the allegation if that is longer.

425. The Information Commissioner has published guidance on employment records in its Employment Practices Code and supplementary guidance, which provides some practical advice on record retention.

### References

426. Cases in which an allegation was found to be false, unfounded, unsubstantiated or malicious should not be included in employer references. Any repeated concerns or allegations which have all been found to be false, unfounded, unsubstantiated or malicious should also not be included in any reference. See paragraph 227 for further information on references. Substantiated safeguarding allegations that meet the harm threshold should be included in references, provided that the information is factual and does not include opinions.

### Learning lessons

427. Throughout the process in handling allegations and at conclusion of a case in which an allegation is substantiated, the LADO should review the circumstances of the case with the case manager to determine whether there are any improvements to be made to the school or college's procedures to help prevent similar events in the future. This should include issues arising from any decision to suspend the member of staff, the duration of the suspension and whether or not suspension was justified. Lessons should also be learnt from the use of suspension when the individual is subsequently reinstated. The LADO and case manager should consider how future investigations of a similar nature could be carried out without suspending the individual.

428. For all other cases, where the allegation concluded to be either, unfounded, false, malicious or unsubstantiated the case manager (and if they have been involved the LADO) should consider the facts and determine whether any lessons can be learned and if improvements can be made.

### Non recent allegations

429. Where an adult makes an allegation to a school or college that they were abused as a child, the individual should be advised to report the allegation to the police. Non recent allegations made by a child, should be reported to the LADO in line with the local authority's procedures for dealing with non-recent allegations. The LADO will coordinate

with local authority children social care and the police. Abuse can be reported no matter how long ago it happened.

## Section two: Concerns or allegations that do not meet the harm threshold

430. Governing bodies and proprietors should have policies and processes to deal with any concerns or allegations which do not meet the harm threshold, referred to in this guidance as 'low-level' concerns. It is important that schools and colleges have appropriate policies and processes in place to manage and record any such concerns and take appropriate action to safeguard children.

### Low-level concerns

431. As part of their whole school or college approach to safeguarding, schools and colleges should ensure that they promote an open and transparent culture in which all concerns about all adults working in or on behalf of the school or college (including supply teachers, volunteers and contractors) are dealt with promptly and appropriately.

432. Creating a culture in which all concerns about adults are shared responsibly and with the right person, recorded and dealt with appropriately, is critical. If implemented correctly, this should:

- enable schools and colleges to identify inappropriate, problematic or concerning behaviour early
- minimise the risk of abuse, and
- ensure that adults working in or on behalf of the school or college are clear about professional boundaries and act within these boundaries, and in accordance with the ethos and values of the institution.

### What a low-level concern is

433. The term 'low-level' concern does not mean that it is insignificant. A low-level concern is any concern – no matter how small, and even if no more than causing a sense of unease or a 'nagging doubt' - that an adult working in or on behalf of the school or college may have acted in a way that:

- is inconsistent with the staff code of conduct, including inappropriate conduct outside of work, and
- does not meet the harm threshold or is otherwise not serious enough to consider a referral to the LADO.

Examples of such behaviour could include, but are not limited to:

- being over friendly with children
- having favourites
- taking photographs of children on their mobile phone, contrary to school policy
- engaging with a child on a one-to-one basis in a secluded area or behind a closed door, or

- humiliating children.

434. Such behaviour can exist on a wide spectrum, from the inadvertent or thoughtless, or behaviour that may look to be inappropriate, but might not be in specific circumstances, through to that which is ultimately intended to enable abuse.

435. Low-level concerns may arise in several ways and from a number of sources. For example: suspicion; complaint; or disclosure made by a child, parent or other adult within or outside of the organisation; or as a result of vetting checks undertaken.

436. It is crucial that all low-level concerns are shared responsibly with the right person and recorded and dealt with appropriately. Ensuring they are dealt with effectively should also protect those working in or on behalf of schools and colleges from becoming the subject of potential false low-level concerns or misunderstandings.

### Staff code of conduct and safeguarding policies

437. As good practice governing bodies and proprietors should set out their low-level concerns policy within their staff code of conduct and safeguarding and child protection policies as set out in Part two of this guidance. They should make it clear what a low-level concern is and the importance of sharing low-level concerns, and an explanation of what the purpose of the policy is – i.e., to create and embed a culture of openness, trust and transparency in which the school or college's values and expected behaviour set out in the staff code of conduct are lived, monitored and reinforced constantly by all staff.

438. As set out in Part two of this guidance, the governing body or proprietor should ensure their staff code of conduct, behaviour policies and safeguarding policies and procedures are implemented effectively and ensure that appropriate action is taken in a timely manner to safeguard children and facilitate a whole school or college approach to dealing with any concerns.

439. Schools and colleges can achieve the purpose of their low-level concerns policy by:

- ensuring their staff are clear about what appropriate behaviour is, and are confident in distinguishing expected and appropriate behaviour from inappropriate, problematic or concerning behaviour, in themselves and others
- empowering staff to share any low-level safeguarding concerns (see below)
- addressing unprofessional behaviour and supporting the individual to correct it at an early stage
- handling and responding to such concerns sensitively and proportionately when they are raised, and
- helping identify any weakness in the school or colleges safeguarding system.

### Sharing low-level concerns

440. Schools and colleges should ensure that their low-level concerns policy contains a procedure for sharing confidentially such concerns which is clear, easy to understand and implement. Whether all low-level concerns are shared initially with the DSL (or a nominated person (such as a values champion)), or with the headteacher/principal is a matter for the

school or college to decide. If the former, then the DSL should inform the headteacher/principal of all the low-level concerns and in a timely fashion according to the nature of each particular low-level concern. The headteacher/principal should be the ultimate decision maker in respect of all low-level concerns, although it is recognised that depending on the nature of some low-level concerns and/or the role of the DSL in some schools/colleges, the headteacher/principal may wish to consult with the DSL and take a more collaborative decision making approach.

441. Low-level concerns which are shared about supply staff and contractors should be notified to their employers, so that any potential patterns of inappropriate behaviour can be identified.

442. If schools and colleges are in any doubt as to whether the information which has been shared about a member of staff as a low-level concern in fact meets the harm threshold, they should consult with their LADO.

443. Schools and colleges should ensure they create an environment where staff are encouraged and feel confident to self-refer, where, for example, they have found themselves in a situation which could be misinterpreted, might appear compromising to others, and/or on reflection they believe they have behaved in such a way that they consider falls below the expected professional standards.

#### Recording low-level concerns

444. All low-level concerns should be recorded in writing. The record should include details of the concern, the context in which the concern arose, and action taken. The name of the individual sharing their concerns should also be noted, if the individual wishes to remain anonymous then that should be respected as far as reasonably possible.

445. Schools and colleges can decide where these records are kept, but they must be kept confidential, held securely and comply with the Data Protection Act 2018 and the UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR).

446. Records should be reviewed so that potential patterns of inappropriate, problematic or concerning behaviour can be identified. Where a pattern of such behaviour is identified, the school or college should decide on a course of action, either through its disciplinary procedures or where a pattern of behaviour moves from a low-level concern to meeting the harm threshold, in which case it should be referred to the LADO (as per Part four, Section one). Consideration should also be given to whether there are wider cultural issues within the school or college that 109 enabled the behaviour to occur and where appropriate policies could be revised, or extra training delivered to minimise the risk of it happening again.

447. It is for schools and colleges to decide how long they retain such information, but it is recommended that it is retained at least until the individual leaves their employment.

#### References

448. Part three of this guidance is clear that schools and colleges should only provide substantiated safeguarding concerns/allegations (including a group of lowlevel concerns about the same individual) that meet the harm threshold in references. Low-level concerns should not be included in references unless they relate to issues which would normally be included in a reference, for example, misconduct or poor performance. It follows that a low-level concern which relates exclusively to safeguarding (and not to misconduct or poor performance) should not be referred to in a reference.

#### Responding to low-level concerns

449. The school or college low-level concerns policy should set out the procedure for responding to reports of low-level concerns. If the concern has been raised via a third party, the headteacher/principal (or a nominated deputy) should collect as much evidence as possible by speaking:

- directly to the person who raised the concern, unless it has been raised anonymously, and
- to the individual involved and any witnesses.

450. The information collected will help them to categorise the type of behaviour and determine what further action may need to be taken. This information needs to be recorded in writing along with the rationale for their decisions and action taken.

451. A good low-level concerns policy will simply be a reflection and extension of the school or college's wider staff behaviour policy/code of conduct.

452. More detailed guidance and case studies on low-level concerns can be found in: Developing and implementing a low-level concerns policy: a guide for organisations which work with children ([farrer.co.uk](http://farrer.co.uk)).